

Approximate Energies for the 2-electron Atom

This is a set of notes on determining the energy of a 2-electron atom in various approximations. In atomic units, the molecular Hamiltonian is:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 - \frac{Z}{r_2} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \quad (1.1)$$

and if we ignore the electron-electron repulsion term, this is a sum of two hydrogenic Hamiltonians, with ground-state energy

$$E_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}} = -\frac{Z^2}{2n_1^2} - \frac{Z^2}{2n_2^2} \quad (1.2)$$

and wavefunction

$$\Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{n_1 l_1 m_1}(\mathbf{r}_1) \sigma_1(1) & \psi_{n_2 l_2 m_2}(\mathbf{r}_1) \sigma_2(1) \\ \psi_{n_1 l_1 m_1}(\mathbf{r}_2) \sigma_1(2) & \psi_{n_2 l_2 m_2}(\mathbf{r}_2) \sigma_2(2) \end{vmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

For the ground state, this is

$$E_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}} = -\frac{Z^2}{2} - \frac{Z^2}{2} = -Z^2 < E_{\text{g.s.}} \text{ (true)} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{Z^3}{\pi} e^{-Z(r_1+r_2)} \left(\frac{\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

This energy must be below the true energy because one has neglected a positive term (the electron-electron repulsion) in the Hamiltonian.

1st-order perturbation theory correction:

Now, to estimate the effect of the electron-electron repulsion using perturbation theory, we add a parameter to the Hamiltonian, writing

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 - \frac{Z}{r_2} + \frac{\lambda}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \quad (1.5)$$

noting that $\hat{H}(\lambda=0)$ is the “easy” system we just solved and $\hat{H}(\lambda=1)$ is the true physical system we want to solve. Then, at the level of first-order perturbation theory,

$$E(\lambda) = E(0) + \lambda \left. \frac{dE}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad (1.6)$$

and for the $\lambda=1$ case of interest,

$$E(1) = E_{\text{1-orderPT}} = E(0) + \left. \frac{dE}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad (1.7)$$

and, from the Hellmann-Feynman theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{dE}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} &= \int \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \frac{d\hat{H}}{d\lambda} \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ &= \frac{Z^6}{\pi^2} \iint \frac{e^{-Z(r_1+r_2)} e^{-Z(r_1+r_2)}}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ &= \frac{5}{8} Z \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

The last integral I am *giving* to you. (I don't expect you to be able to solve it, at least not in the limited time allowed on an exam.)

So the energy of the 2-electron atom is

$$E_{1\text{-orderPT}} = -Z^2 + \frac{5}{8}Z > E_{g.s.} \text{ (true)} \quad (1.9)$$

I know this is greater than the true ground-state energy because

$$E_{1\text{-orderPT}} = \iint \Psi_{\lambda=0}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \hat{H} \Psi_{\lambda=0}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 > E_{g.s.} \text{ (true)} \quad (1.10)$$

based on the variational principle.

Variational Refinement:

Now we can imagine trying to refine the wavefunction using an effective nuclear charge. The new wavefunction we consider is

$$\Psi_{\zeta}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\zeta^3}{\pi} e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} \left(\frac{\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \quad (1.11)$$

which we notice is the *exact* wavefunction for the Hamiltonian *without* any electron-electron repulsion with nuclear charge ζ ,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{\zeta}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 - \frac{\zeta}{r_2} \quad (1.12)$$

Merely substituting Eq. (1.11) into (1.8) gives the expectation value for the electron-electron repulsion as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_{ee} \rangle &= \int \Psi_{\zeta}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \Psi_{\zeta}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ &= \frac{\zeta^6}{\pi^2} \iint \frac{e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)}}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ &= \frac{5}{8}\zeta \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

To determine the other contributions to the energy, note that from the Hellmann-Feynman theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\zeta)}{d\zeta} &= \int \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \frac{d\hat{H}_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ \frac{d\left(\frac{-\zeta^2}{n^2}\right)}{d\zeta} &= \frac{\zeta^6}{\pi^2} \iint e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} \left(-\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right) e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\ \frac{-2\zeta}{n^2} &= \frac{\zeta^6}{\pi^2} \iint e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} \left(-\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right) e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Notice, now, that the electron-nuclear attraction integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle V_{ne} \rangle &= \left\langle -\frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{Z}{r_2} \right\rangle = \frac{\zeta^6}{\pi^2} \iint e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} \left(-\frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{Z}{r_2} \right) e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\
&= Z \frac{\zeta^6}{\pi^2} \iint e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} \left(-\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right) e^{-\zeta(r_1+r_2)} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\
&= \frac{-2Z\zeta}{n^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

The kinetic-energy integral could also be determined from the Hellman-Feynman theorem (use non-atomic-units and differentiate with respect to \hbar). However, for the exact Hamiltonian, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\zeta) &= -\frac{\zeta^2}{n^2} = \langle T \rangle + \langle V_{ne}(\zeta) \rangle \\
-\frac{\zeta^2}{n^2} &= \langle T \rangle - \frac{2\zeta^2}{n^2} \\
\langle T \rangle &= \frac{\zeta^2}{n^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

So the energy expression we have is:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\zeta) &= \int \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \hat{H}_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\zeta) \Psi_{\text{no-ee-repulsion}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \\
&= \langle T \rangle + \langle V_{ne}(Z) \rangle + \langle V_{ee} \rangle \\
&= \zeta^2 - 2\zeta Z + \frac{5}{8} \zeta
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

We find the optimal effective nuclear charge by differentiation this expression,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{dE}{d\zeta} = 2\zeta - 2Z + \frac{5}{8} \\
\zeta &= Z - \frac{5}{16}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (1.17) gives the best variational energy (which is still above the true energy),

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\zeta_{\min}) &= \left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right)^2 - 2Z \left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right) + \frac{5}{8} \left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right) \\
&= \left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right) \left(\left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right) - 2Z + \frac{5}{8} \right) \\
&= -\left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right) \left(-Z + \frac{5}{16} \right) \\
&= -\left(Z - \frac{5}{16} \right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$